

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/082,824	10/19/2001	Jeffiy R. Meyer	ABHS-0169 B970532	3607	
75	90 05 28 2003				
Woodcock Washburn LLP One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103			EXAMINER		
			DONOVAN, LINCOLN D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2832		

DATE MAILED: 05/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10/082,824

Meyer

Office Action Summary

xaminer Lincoln Donovan Art Unit **2832**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply					
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In 1					
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In I I date of this communication.	no event, nowever, m	ауатертур	e timery filed after SIA (0) MONTAS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) e application to becon	MONTHS fr ne ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>Mar 10, 2003</i>					
2a) 🛄	his action is FINAL . 2b) \overline{X} This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) X	Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 24-28</u>		· <u>-</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s)					
6) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-22 and 24-28		,	is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)					
8) 🗌	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	tion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	IO) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
11)[∃	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗀 a	pproved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	ocuments have au (PCT Rule 1	been re 7.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
a)	a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	35 U.S.(C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		u ()				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			0-413) Paper No(s)		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/082,824 Page 2

Art Unit: 2832

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 8-11, 13-14, 16-18 and 20-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bastle [US 4,008,876].

Bastle discloses a solenoid valve [figures 1-4] comprising:

- a rod-shaped armature [32] having an extension [figures 1-4];
- a shoulder extension [38] on the armature; and
- a biasing spring [36] mounted coaxially about the armature and engaging the shoulder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Application/Control Number: 10/082,824

Art Unit: 2832

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 2 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bastle.

Bastle discloses the instant claimed invention except for the specific method steps.

It would have been obvious that the specific method steps claimed would have been inherent in the product structure.

5. Claims 3-4, 12, 19 and 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bastle in view of Grunert et al. [US 4,713,639].

Bastle discloses the instant claimed invention except for a space between the armature end and the mechanism to be engaged.

Grunert et al. discloses a solenoid controlled armature engaging a mechanism with a space between the armature and member to be engaged [figure 1].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a space between the armature end and engagement piece in Bastle, as suggested by Grunert et al., for the purpose of providing an impact at engagement.

Regarding claims 24-28, the specific "delay time" of the activation/movement of the armature would have been an obvious design consideration based on the specific application and current applied to the coil.

The specific method steps claimed would have been necessitated in the product structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,824 Page 4

Art Unit: 2832

6. Claims 7, 15 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bastle, as applied to claims 1, 8 and 16, respectively, above and further in view of Harper et al. [US 4,062,052].

Bastle discloses the instant claimed invention except for an additional mass formed with the armature.

Harper et al. discloses the armature being enlarged.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to enlarge the armature of Bastle, as suggested by Harper et al., for the purpose of avoiding unwanted motion.

It would have been obvious that the specific method steps claimed would have been inherent in the product structure.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 03-10-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding rejections under USC 102 and 103. Applicant argues that "Bastle does not disclose or suggest a delay member that 'significantly' delays the motion of the armature with a delay force to delay the movement of the armature from the first position to the second position until the armature exhibits an armature force greater that the maximum force necessary to activate the mechanism." Examiner disagrees. Bastle discloses a spring member [36] biasing the armature. The

Application/Control Number: 10/082,824 Page 5

Art Unit: 2832

mechanism of Bastle is not going to be activated until the force applied by the armature against the spring is greater than the maximum force applied by the spring to the armature."

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lincoln Donovan whose telephone number is (703) 308-3111.

The fax number for this Group is (703)-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

LDD

May 23, 2003

LINE CHANNER
PRINCES 2300